A short note on stochastic simulations: a glimpse on the rejection method

R. Monteiro*

10/2/2018

Generating some random variables using inverse function method

To begin with, I would like to simulate a simple exponential variable. This is quite easy if we use what some people call the "inverse method": using the cumulative distribution function, we know that

$$F(x) = \int_0^x \lambda e^{-\lambda s} \mathrm{d}s = 1e^{\lambda x}.$$
 (1)

Furthermore, we know that

 $X = F^{-1}(U)$

is an exponential distribution, we only need to simulate a uniform on the interval [0,1]. Hence, we first generate an uniform random variable U

U = runif(1,min=0,max=1);

Now we use the inverse function in (1). It is not hard to show that

$$F^{-1}(u) = -\frac{1}{\lambda} log(1-u).$$
 (2)

which can be simplified to

$$F^{-1}(u) = -\frac{1}{\lambda} log(u).$$
(3)

if you note that 1-U and U both have uniform distribution in [0, 1]. Ok, now we are ready to generate our exponential distribution:

```
generate_exponential <- function(lambda){
U = runif(1,min=0,max=1);
X = -(1/lambda)*log(U);
return (X); }</pre>
```

We are going to generate 1000 exponentially distributed random variables¹.

```
N = 1000;
X <- matrix(0,N,1);
lambda =.5;
for (i in 1:N ){
   X[i] = generate_exponential(lambda);
}
```

^{*}Mathematics for Advanced Materials - Open Innovation Lab, Japan. Email: rafael.a.monteiro.math@gmail.com, monteirodasilva-rafael@aist.go.jp

¹There is a simpler way to write this function without a loop. One could use for instance runif(1000,min=0,max=1)

Just for verification, I will plot a histogram with these values. Note how close to the density they are (a good sign,right?).



A case that is not contemplated by the previous method

Now we shall consider a case that does not fit the previous technique. To begin with, imagine that you want to simulate a random variable X on the interval [0, 1] that has the following density function:

$$f_X(x) = cx^2(1-x)^2.$$
(4)

I'll first create the function f:

```
f <- function(x) {
  result= 30*x^2*(1-x)^2;
  return(result)
}</pre>
```

The constant c is so that $\int_0^1 f(s) ds = 1$. This can be found using integration (or any symbolic package, like SAGE): in fact, c = 30.

var('x c')
f(x) = c*x^2*(1-x)^2
integral(f,x,0,1)

Good luck if you want to integrate and find a full expression for this inverse. You will probably end yo in a 3rd order polynomial, whose expression for roots will be nothing but horrible. But there is a way to circumvent that, which is the main reason for us to introduce the rejection method. The idea is that, if you generate another distribution Y with density function $g(\cdot)$ and so that

$$\frac{f(x)}{g(x)} \le M$$

(be careful, this is a pointwise bound! In other words, this is a severely tying/constraining both distributions X and Y!). In our case, $g(\cdot) = 1$, and it is not hard to show that $M = \frac{30}{16}$ (a crude bound would be M = 30, but smaller values are better (the reason why is that the acceptance rate of the method below behaves as a geometric distribution with rate $\frac{1}{M}$). Now we do the following:

- Step 1: Generate a random variable with distribution Y;
- Step 2: Generate an Uniform r.v. U;
- Step 3: If $U \leq \frac{f(Y)}{cq(Y)}$ then do X = Y, otherwise go back to step 1

Ok, now we are ready to go. Notice that we must simulate until we get a valid result²

```
M = 30/16;
Y = matrix(0,N,1);
for (i in 1:N){
  while (TRUE){
    U = runif(2, min=0,max=1);
    if (U[2] <f(U[1])/M){
       Y[i]= U[1];
       break;
    }
}
```

Just for curiosity, we can plot the histogram for Y. The fitting is stunning.

hist(Y, breaks=25,main="Histogram", prob =TRUE)
curve(f(x), add=TRUE, col = 2, lty = 2, lwd = 2)

 $^{^{2}}$ I will not explain it here, but the distribution of accepted simulated values also follow a probability distribution, called geometric distribution.





